

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,969	04/04/2001	Thomas D. Doerr	951130.90029	5575
26710 7590 01/24/2007 QUARLES & BRADY LLP 411 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE SUITE 2040 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-4497			EXAMINER	
			PORTER, RACHEL L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WILL WACKEL, WI 33202-1477			3626	
			<u> </u>	·
·	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	01/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/825,969	DOERR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 26 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. 📈 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. X The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-9, and 11-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9.

The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: ____.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The current claim format is non-compliant.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that Evans teaches away from the combination, and does not teach or suggest using the selection of a diagnosis code to serve as a gatekeeper to access the additional information.

In response to applicant's argument that the Lewis reference cannot be combined with the Evans reference the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981). Evans discloses a method wherein the navigation menu presents diagnosis codes representing different medical diagnoses; (Fig. 20; col. 11, lines 37-50) and further discloses access to additional physician support features related to a treatment of a medical diagnosis represented by the specific diagnostic code. (col. 11, lines 54-60; Figures 18, 20- procedures/treatments provided relating to diagnosis and diagnosis code; medication manager also available). Evans does not expressly disclose that access to the additional support features is restricted until the diagnosis code is selected.

However, Evans discloses that one of the goals of the inventive system is to provide healthcare providers with an easy-to-use interface that enables them to capture and enter patient data at the point of care (col. 2, lines 28-31). Lewis et al discloses a method wherein the user selects the diagnosis code to access additional support features relating to treatment of the diagnosis. (par. 79, lines 17-25; par. 80-83--The selected diagnostic codes then lead to the health services that correspond to treating such diagnoses.) Furthermore, in response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the Examiner has cited a passage from the secondary reference, which supports the holding of obviousness and provides a motivation for why one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to been motivated to make the proposed modification.

As such, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant's assertion that the Evans reference teaches away from the applied combination (with Lewis) and that there is no reason to combine the two references. The rejection of claim 1 is proper and has been maintained.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/825,969	DOERR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Rachel L. Porter	3626	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

requirem	ndment document filed on <u>26 December 2006</u> is considered non-complents of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be required.	liant because it has failed to meet the be compliant, correction of the following
	LOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUM 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other	ENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:
	2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other	
	 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "I "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has be showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance wi C. Other 	een eliminated. Replacement drawings
⊠ .	 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims. C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status ident of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every conumber by using one of the following status identifiers: (Origin (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented. E. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	ifier, and as such, the individual status claim must be indicated after its claim al), (Currently amended), (Canceled), I (Withdrawn-currently amended).
	 Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance ——— er explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see 	,
	RIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
filed.a	cant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is a after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after ecorrected amendment must be resubmitted.	n after-final amendment or an amendment -final amendment with corrections, the
corre (inclu amer <i>Quay</i>	cant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from ction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliming ding a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under different filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and the action. If any of above boxes 1, to 4, are checked the correction requestion amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	nary amendment, a non-final amendment r 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental and an amendment filed in response to a
<u>Ex</u> an	tensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-condition of an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.	compliant amendment is a non-final
<u>Fa</u>	ilure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preamendment.	,
C Detect	Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telephone No.

Application No. 09/825,969

Continuation of 4(e) Other: Claim 8 includes an underscore marking, indicating that it is currently being amended. However, the status identifier indicates that it was "previously presented" in its current form. The claim markings or the status identifier need to be corrected.